

February 23, 2007

SWH Comprehensive Plan Committee Survey Results analyzed by provenance. \*

<u>ALL SURVEYS RETURNED – 152</u>	<u>RETURNED FROM IN TOWN – 74</u>	<u>RETURNED FROM OUT OF TOWN – 59</u>
1. Econ. of scale	1. Econ. of scale	1. Strip development
2. Drinking water	2. Drinking water	2. Drinking water
3. Strip development	3. Harbor management	3. Bicycle ways
4. Harbor management	4. Affordable homes**	4. Econ. of scale
5. Bicycle ways	5. Impact mgmt. act.	5. Scenic protection
6. Sidewalks	6. CIP needed	6. Sidewalks
7. Affordable homes**	7. Sidewalks	7. Harbor mgmt.
8. CIP needed	8. Strip development	8. Pedestrian ways
9. Impact mgmt. act.	9. Bicycle ways	9. Clearcutting
10. Develop. efforts	10. Develop. efforts	10. Affdble. homes**
11. Scenic protection	11. Public transit	11. BH Marsh prot.

**On all three lists:** Econ. of scale, Drinking water, Strip develop., Harbor management, Bicycle ways, Sidewalks, Affordable housing,

**In Town list only:** Public Transit

**Out of Town list only:** Pedestrian ways, Clearcutting, Protect BH Marsh

Some people were interested to see how the priorities of respondents might differ when analyzed by provenance. The In town responses were hand delivered in most cases to one of the three drop off sites. The out of town responses were mailed and represent summer residents and snow birds. The responses suggest that both groups are interested in many of the same things, though not necessarily in the same order. The total responses list and the in town responses list are most nearly matched.

\* Not shown are the priorities of the 19 respondents who could not be identified, but many of those surveys were hand delivered.

\*\* Originally this item was called “affordable rentals”, but the comments seem to indicate that people are interested in “affordable homes to own”.